Senate

Record of Committee Proceedings

Committee on Privacy, Electronic Commerce and Financial Institutions

Clearinghouse Rule 00-017

Relating to investment adviser representative competency examination grandfather provisions.

Submitted by the Department of Financial Institutions.

March 21, 2000

Referred to Committee on Privacy, Electronic Commerce and

Financial Institutions.

April 21, 2000

NO ACTION TAKEN

Carrie Templeton
Committee Clerk

JON ERPENBACH

STATE SENATOR

March 21, 2000

TO: Members of the Senate Committee on Privacy, Electronic Commerce and Financial Institutions

FROM: Senator Jon Erpenbach, Chair

RE: Clearinghouse Rule 00-017

Attached is a copy of Clearinghouse Rule 00-017 relating to investment adviser representative examinations. If you would like to a public hearing to be held on this rule, please contact my office by April 20, 2000. Thank you.



State of Wisconsin

Department of Financial Institutions

Tommy G. Thompson, Governor

Richard L. Dean, Secretary

March 15, 2000

The Honorable Fred Risser, President Wisconsin State Senate Attn: Donna Doyle c/o Office of Senate Journal and Records 119 Martin Luther King Blvd, Ste. 500 Madison, WI 53702

The Honorable Scott Jensen Speaker of the Assembly Attn: Ken Stigler c/o Office of Assembly Records 1 E. Main St., Ste. 402 Madison, WI 53702

Re:

Clearinghouse Rule 00-017/Administrative Rule-Making Notice and Report to Legislative Standing Committees Under secs. 227.19(2) and (3), Wis. Stats.

Gentlemen:

The Division of Securities of the Department of Financial Institutions hereby submits for filing with the Wisconsin Legislature pursuant to the administrative rule-making requirements of secs. 227.19(2) and (3), Wis. Stats., copies in triplicate of the Notice and Report required thereunder consisting of:

- (1) Proposed administrative rules in proposed final draft form as specified in sec. 227.14(1), Wis. Stats.
- (2) A Report as prescribed in sec. 227.19(3), Wis. Stats.
- (3) A fiscal estimate for the proposed rules.
- (4) A copy of the Clearinghouse Report of the Wisconsin Legislative Council relating to the published, public comment draft form of the proposed rules.

If you have any comments or questions regarding the above, please telephone me at 266-3414.

Very truly yours

Randall E. Schumann

Legal Counsel for the Division

Attachments

cc:

Revisor of Statutes

Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules Patricia D. Struck, Administrator, Division of Securities

David Anderson, Executive Assistant, DFI



PROPOSED FINAL ORDER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF SECURITIES STATE OF WISCONSIN ADOPTING RULES

To amend DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(b) and to create DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(e) relating to investment adviser representative competency examination grandfathering provisions.

Analysis Prepared by the Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Securities

Statutory Authority: Sections 551.32(4) and 551.63(2), Wis. Stats.

Statute Interpreted: Section 551.32(4), Wis. Stats.

These proposed permanent rules are being promulgated to be in place upon the expiration of identical emergency rules currently in effect that were issued by Order of the Administrator of the Division of Securities on December 23, 1999, and became effective on January 1, 2000 following publication in the official state newspaper and the required filings with the Secretary of State and the Revisor of Statutes Bureau. The proposed permanent rules, as do the current emergency rules, are necessary to establish certain "grandfathering"/examination-waiver provisions applicable to a newly-enacted investment adviser representative competency examination requirement, so as to be uniform with equivalent grandfathering provisions adopted in most states.

See the Statement Explaining Need for Proposed Rules in Section (a) of the attached Report for a more comprehensive discussion.

The rulemaking action is comprised of two provisions which do the following: (1) provide an examination waiver in new section DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(e) for any applicant licensed as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative in any jurisdiction in the U.S. on January 1, 2000; and (2) provide an examination waiver in amended section DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(b) for any applicant that has been licensed as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative in any jurisdiction in the U.S. within two years prior to the date the application is filed.

Text of Rules

SECTION 1. DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(b) is amended to read:

DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(b) The applicant was has been licensed as an investment adviser or licensed as an investment adviser representative under ch. 551, Stats., in any jurisdiction in the United States within 2 years prior to the date the application is filed.

SECTION 2. DFI-SEC 5.01(4)(e) is created to read:

DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(e) The applicant was licensed as an investment adviser or licensed as an investment adviser representative in any jurisdiction in the United States on January 1, 2000, except that the administrator may require additional examinations for any individual found to have violated any state or federal securities law.

The rules contained in this Order shal on the first day of the month commencing Administrative Register.	ll take effect as g after publicati	provided in sec. on of the rules i	227.22(2), Wis. Stats. n the Wisconsin
Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this	day of	, 2000.	
[SEAL]			
	Patricia D. S Administrato		

REPORT PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF SECURITIES RELATING TO PROPOSED FINAL FORM OF REVISIONS TO THE RULES OF THE DIVISION OF SECURITES

(a) Statement Explaining Need for Proposed Rules

These proposed permanent rules are being promulgated to be in place upon the expiration of identical emergency rules currently in effect that were issued by Order of the Administrator of the Division of Securities on December 23, 1999, and became effective on January 1, 2000 following publication in the official state newspaper and the required filings with the Secretary of State and the Revisor of Statutes Bureau. The proposed permanent rules, as do the current emergency rules, are necessary to establish certain "grandfathering"/examination-waiver provisions applicable to a newly-enacted investment adviser representative competency examination requirement, so as to be uniform with equivalent grandfathering provisions adopted in most states.

The Division recently adopted for January 1, 2000 effectiveness as part of its annual rule revision process for 1999, a new administrative rule in DFI-Sec 5.01(3) that prescribes a new examination requirement for investment advisers and investment adviser representatives seeking licensure in Wisconsin on or after January 1, 2000. That new examination requirement, which includes completely revised Series 65 and Series 66 examinations, was developed over a 3-year period by a Project Group of the North American Securities Administrators Association ("NASAA").

The new NASAA examination requirement (which also included certain "grandfathering"/examination-waiver provisions) was approved by vote of NASAA member states (including Wisconsin) at the NASAA 1999 Spring Conference to become effective on December 31, 1999. The NASAA membership vote was accompanied by a recommendation that for uniformity purposes, each NASAA member state complete the necessary steps to adopt and have effective by January 1, 2000, the new examination requirement conforming to the NASAA format in all respects.

Following the adoption on November 18, 1999 by the Division of the new investment adviser examination requirement in DFI-Sec 5.01(3) as part of the Division's annual rule revision process, it was noted that the "grandfathering"/examination waiver provisions that had been included in DFI-Sec 5.01(4) did not track the NASAA model language in two respects.

Because it is critical that the grandfathering provisions for the new Wisconsin investment adviser examination requirement be uniform with those of other NASAA member states so that applicants for licensing in Wisconsin receive equivalent treatment to that accorded them by other states in which they may be seeking licensure, both the emergency rulemaking and the permanent rulemaking are necessary.

The rulemaking action is comprised of two provisions which do the following: (1) provide an examination waiver in new section DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(e) for any applicant licensed as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative in any jurisdiction in the U.S. on January 1, 2000; and (2) provide an examination waiver in amended section DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(b) for any applicant that has been licensed as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative in any jurisdiction in the U.S.

within two years prior to the date the application is filed.

(b) Explanation of Modifications Made as a Result of the Public Hearing and Comment Process

No modifications were made as a result of the public hearing and comment process. No persons, other than staff, appeared at the public rule-making hearing, and no comment letters were received.

(c) <u>List of Persons Appearing or Registering at the Public Hearing and Comment Letters Received</u>

- -- Randall E. Schumann, Legal Counsel for the Division of Securities, made an appearance on behalf of the Division staff to submit documents and information for the record and to be available to respond to any questions should the need arise.
- --No comment letters were received.

(d) Response to Legislative Rules Clearinghouse Report Recommendations

The Rules Clearinghouse Report did not contain any recommendations, thus no responses are warranted.

(e) No final regulatory flexibility analysis is included on the basis that the Division of Securities has determined, after complying with s. 227.016(1) to (5), Wis. Stats., that the proposed rules will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small businesses.

				1999 Sessio
	23 ORIGINAL	LI UPDATED		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rufe No.
FISCAL ESTIMATE	☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMEN	ITAI	DFI-Sec 5.01(4)(b) and (e
DOA-2048 N(R10/98)	Cr Columbia	O CONTELEMENT	IIAL	Amendment No. if Applicable
subject Investment adviser represer	ntative competency	examination gra	ndfathering pro	visions
		•		
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect			. • • • •	
Check columns below only if bill make	s a direct appropriation	1	I increase	Costs - May be possible to Absorb
or affects a sum sufficient appro	• • •		Within A	gency's Budget Yes No
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation	☐ Increase Exis	sting Revenues		
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation		isting Revenues	☐ Decreas	e Costs
☐ Create New Appropriation				
Local: No local government costs	_			
1.	3. Increase Re	venues	5. Types of	of Local Governmental Units Affecte
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissin	ve	☐ Towns	□.Villages □ Cities
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease Re	evenues	☐ Counties	☐ Others
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissiv		☐ School Dis	
Fund Sources Affected			d Ch. 20 Appropr	iations
☐ GPR ☐ FED ME PRO ☐	PRS DISEG DIS	EG-S		
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The l Innual rule revision process for 1999	Division has recent , a new administra	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se	nuary 1, 2000 e c 5.01(3) that p	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers a liter January 1, 2000. That new exam 6 examinations, was developed over	Division has recent , a new administra and investment ad nination requireme r a 3-year period b	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes	nuary 1, 2000 e ec 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers a later January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over administrators Association ("NASAA"	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).]	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers a ifter January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over dministrators Association ("NASAA" The rule adoptions will not have any s	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).]	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers a ifter January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over dministrators Association ("NASAA" The rule adoptions will not have any s	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).]	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examine examinations, was developed over deministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
to have its "grandfathering"/examinate lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers an after January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over administrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examine examinations, was developed over deministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new exam 6 examinations, was developed over dministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new exam 6 examinations, was developed over dministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over deministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examine examinations, was developed over deministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examine examinations, was developed over deministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
to have its "grandfathering"/examinate lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers an after January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over administrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examine examinations, was developed over deministrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
to have its "grandfathering"/examinate lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lannual rule revision process for 1999 equirement for investment advisers an after January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over administrators Association ("NASAA" the rule adoptions will not have any see Division.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
The emergency rules revise a newly- to have its "grandfathering"/examinat January 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The I annual rule revision process for 1999 requirement for investment advisers a after January 1, 2000. That new exam 66 examinations, was developed ove Administrators Association ("NASAA" The rule adoptions will not have any s he Division. The rules do not involve any local gov	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
to have its "grandfathering"/examinat January 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness for 1999 requirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over administrators Association ("NASAA") The rule adoptions will not have any she Division. The rules do not involve any local governments and the rules do not involve any local governments.	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).}	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e cc 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities
o have its "grandfathering"/examinat lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness for 1999 equirement for investment advisers after January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over deministrators Association ("NASAA" he rule adoptions will not have any see Division. The rules do not involve any local government advisers are provided in the rules and the rules and the rules are provided in the rules and the rules are provided in the rules are provided	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).} state fiscal effect between the costs.	ty adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group ecause they do no	nuary 1, 2000 e ec 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar timpact any re	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities.
to have its "grandfathering"/examinate January 1, 2000 effectiveness. [The lanuary 1, 2000 effectiveness for 1999 equirement for investment advisers a fiter January 1, 2000. That new examinations, was developed over a developed	Division has recent, a new administra and investment admination requirement a 3-year period by).] state fiscal effect be remment costs.	ly adopted for Jar tive rule in DFI-Se viser representativ nt, which includes y a Project Group	nuary 1, 2000 e ec 5.01(3) that p res seeking lice completely rev of the North Ar timpact any re	ffectiveness as part of its prescribes a new examination ensure in Wisconsin on or rised Series 65 and Series merican Securities

FISC	AL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET			·					Sessioi	n j
		ORIGINAL CORRECTE		UPDATED . SUPPLEMENTAL			o./ <u>Adm., Rule</u> (4)(b) and (e)		\mendme	nt No.
Subj	ect stment adviser representative compet	ency eys	minatio	n arandfathe						
I.	One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts fo None							ualized	fiscal e	ffect):
<u>-</u>		<u>:</u>					·			
<u>II.</u>	Annualized Costs:				+		d Fiscal imp d Costs		State fund Decreased	
A.	State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and F	ringes	· .		\$	0		\$	- 0	1 costs
	(FTE Position Changes)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(0	FTE)		(- 0	FTE
·	State Operations - Other Costs				1		:		•	
<i>:</i>	Local Assistance	-	•		1				_	
	Alds to Individuals or Organization	s	4,3 ···		<u> </u>				•	· .
	TOTAL State Costs by Catego	жу			\$. 0		\$	0	
В.	State Costs by Source of Funds	• .	•	: : :		increased	Costs	De	ecreased	Costs
	GPR				\$			\$ -		
	FED						:	<u>.</u>		
	PRO/PRS					0 .		•	0	
	SEG/SEG-S				<u>.</u>			-		
\$	State Revenues Complete this only when pro revenues (e.g., tax increase,					Increased	Rev.	D€	ecreased	Rev.
	GPR Taxes	, 400,0000			\$			\$ -		- ::
	GPR Earned		··· .		<u> </u>			-	·	
	FED		•	·				-		•
	PRO/PRS		•			0			0	· .
	SEG/SEG-S							-	. ,	
	TOTAL State Revenues			•	\$	0		\$ -	0	
	NE	T ANNU		FISCAL IM	PAC	Γ		10041		•
		•		<u>STATE</u>		• •		LOCAL	j.	
IET CH	IANGE IN COSTS	\$	0		 :	_ \$	0		·	
ET CH	IANGE IN REVENUES	\$	0		· ·	\$	0	••••	<u> </u>	
	Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	. .	Authori	zed Signature	/Telep	hone No.		Date		<u> </u>
Dept. c Randal	f Financial Institutions/Div of Securities I Schumann, Legal Counsel 266-3414	s L	Patrici	a D. Struck,	Adm	inistrator	266-3432	13	-28-0	19

WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF



RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Director (608) 266-1946

Richard Sweet Assistant Director (608) 266–2982



Laura D. Rose, Interim Director Legislative Council Staff (608) 266-1304

One E. Main St., Ste. 401 P.O. Box 2536 Madison, WI 53701-2536 FAX: (608) 266-3830

CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 00-017

AN ORDER to amend DFI-Sec 5.01 (4) (b); and to create DFI-Sec 5.01 (4) (e), relating to investment adviser representative competency examination grandfather provisions.

Submitted by **DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

01–20–00 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

02–17–00 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RS:DLS:rv

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

reported as noted below:						
1.	STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)]					
	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🖊			
2.	FORM, STYLE AND PLACEM	ENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE C	ODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)]			
	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🖊			
3.	CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLICATION OF EXISTING RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)]					
	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🖊			
4.	ADEQUACY OF REFERENCE [s. 227.15 (2) (e)]	S TO RELATED STATUTES, R	ULES AND FORMS			
	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🖊			
5.	CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNC	TUATION AND USE OF PLAI	N LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)]			
	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🖊			
6.	POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WIT REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (§	- ·	O, RELATED FEDERAL			
	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🖊			
7.	COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT	ACTION DEADLINE REQUIR	EMENTS [s. 227.15 (2) (h)]			
•	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🖊			

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are